Conservation of a commemorative monument to the Emperor Commodus in House H21c in Marina el-Alamein

Abstract: Current maintenance conservation work by the Polish–Egyptian Conservation Mission in Marina el-Alamein occasions a revisiting of the history of the archaeological discovery, interpretation and original conservation and anastylosis of a commemorative monument dedicated to the Roman Emperor Marcus Antoninus Commodus. The monument, a rectangular masonry structure with colonnaded front, was built inside a presumed dining or reception hall of building H21c near the harbor of the ancient Graeco-Roman town. The original project took place between 2000 and 2007 (Czerner and Medeksza 2010). Maintenance conservation after a decade created the opportunity for a more in-depth analysis of the dimensions of the monument and the individual architectural elements of which it was composed.
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One of the most important architectural monuments from the site of Marina el-Alamein, an ancient Graeco-Roman harbor town on the Mediterranean coast of Egypt, is a commemorative monument dedicated to the Roman emperor Marcus Antoninus Commodus. It is a masonry-built structure located in what was most probably the main reception or dining hall (Room 2) of House H21c in the northern part of the ancient town, close to the harbor area [Fig. 1].
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DISCOVERY AND INTERPRETATION

The structure, which was first cleared in 2001, was not immediately recognized for what it was [Fig. 2]. Two square compartments, 1.80 m to the side, were observed against the west wall of a large room that was indubitably the most important official hall in the house. It opened off a long courtyard with porticoes on either side. The compartments were constructed of two courses of vertical stone slabs (0.54–0.60 m long, 0.30 m wide, and 0.20–0.21 m thick), rising to a total height of 0.68 m. These walls were set directly on the paved stone floor, attached to the west wall of the room that rose behind them serving as a backdrop. The compartments appeared to serve no particular function.

However, the rubble inside the house, which started to be cleared in 2000, yielded numerous architectural elements of interest: five drums of small column shafts 29–31.5 cm in diameter and 53 cm in height, and one smaller drum with a diameter of 29 cm and a height of 45 cm (Czernek and Medeksza 2010: 102). A column drum from Room 12, 53 cm high, retained the plastered and polychromed decoration in two layers (preservation by painting conservation specialist Małgorzata Ujma...
in 2001–2002). The outer layer bearing a floral ornament in two colors (red tendrils with green leaves, Fig. 5) is 1 cm thick and made of more finely sifted aggregate, yielding a smoother surface as a ground for the wall painting.

The architectural decoration included a pseudo-Corinthian capital (so-called Marina type) [Fig. 4] and a square pillar head also in the pseudo-Corinthian type. Fragments of red marble were recomposed into a two irregular pieces of a slab (34 x 34.5 cm and 29.5 x 60.5 cm; see Medeksza 2001: 73, 74; Czerner and Medeksza 2010: 102) and reconstructed as a large rectangular marble *mensa*, 4.29 (4.34) m by 2.045 m. The slab was 4.3–4.8 cm thick and was furnished with a fragmentary inscription running around the edge, implying its horizontal position [Fig. 3 top]. It may have been set like a plinth covering the double-compartment structure. The inscription, consisting of letters 1.5–2.2 cm high, was cut in the middle of the height of the side of the slab. Read by Adam Łajtar (University of Warsaw), it contributed to the interpretation of the monument and its dating: “... Year 23

---

Fig. 3. Fragments of decoration: top, integrated *mensa* made of red marble with inscription around the edge; bottom, red marble decorative element (Polish–Egyptian Conservation Mission | photos A.B. Biernacki; drawing W. Grzegorek)
Fig. 4. Pseudo-Corinthian column capital classes as the so-called Marina type (Polish–Egyptian Conservation Mission | photos and drawing W. Grzegorek)

Fig. 5. Drum of a column with a plant ornament painted on the shaft (Polish–Egyptian Conservation Mission | photo W. Grzegorek, drawing W. Grzegorek and M. Ujma, digitizing M. Grzegorek)
of Imperator Ceasar Marcus Antoninus Commodus [- (has laid or have laid) -] and the chequered – work of stibades [-] for the good”. The dedication was made in year 23 of the reign of Commodus, that is, the year between 29 August 182 and 28 August 183 (Łajtar 2001: 59–65; revised in 2003: 178).

A small (12.5 x 12.5 x 16.3 cm) element, also made of red marble with light veins [Fig. 3 bottom], and a number of small, shapeless, flat chips from this marble (1–3 cm by 1–3 cm) came from the backfill of Room 2, but there is no certainty that they came from the monument.


The anastylosis project was developed and implemented between 2002 and 2007 by architects Stanisław Medeksza and Rafał Czerner from the Polish-Egyptian Conservation Mission, based on a detailed analysis of architectural elements and comparative studies (Czerner and Medeksza 2008: 31–33; 2010: 104–112) [Table 1].

The house, in which the monument is located, forms part of an insula with building H21’N’ to the north of it. The latter is composed of a single large hall with a decorated niche of considerable size in the south wall. It was probably a public building; if so, then there could have been some connection with the monument in the building that adjoined it.

### Table 1. Stages of discovery, preservation and anastylosis/conservation prior to the current maintenance work

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Season</th>
<th>Stages of work</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2000</td>
<td>Base plinth cleaned and inventoried; numerous construction elements found in the backfill of the house (Medeksza 2001: 72–74)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2001</td>
<td>Column shaft drum with plastered polychrome plant decoration, from Room 12; conserved (Medeksza 2002: 95–97, 100–101)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2002</td>
<td>Reconstruction of the plinth (Medeksza et al. 2003: 89–90, 94)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2003</td>
<td>Anastylosis project, preparing templates for the base and column capitals, casting the missing three drums for the anastylosis of two columns of full height, casting three columns and pillar bases (Medeksza et al. 2004: 95)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2004</td>
<td>Anastylosis of two columns to full height, without capitals (Medeksza et al. 2005: 109, 111–112)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td>Installation of two capitals on shafts of full height, finalising the anastylosis of two columns (Medeksza et al. 2007: 106–107, 109–110)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006</td>
<td>Reconstruction of one southern pillar plus head, elements of the shaft fastened to west wall. Third base mounted with one original column drum and new bases cast for the fourth corner column as well as a second pillar by the west wall (Medeksza et al. 2008: 75–76)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>Layer of blocks from the architrave set on the wall with a cantilever cornice of seven blocks on top; a few more blocks laid on the west wall completing the project (Medeksza et al. 2010: 88)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The house with the monument was entered from a street passing along the eastern elevation if the building. Steps from outside led into a portico courtyard and from there, through a tripartite entrance, to a large hall in the southeastern part of the house. The room was 6.40 m wide and 8.17–8.21 m long. Upon entering, on one’s right side, one had the monument, which lined the west wall of the room. The structure was 4.23 m long, at about the same distance from either end (its center aligned almost exactly with the E–W axis of the room), projecting 2.02 m from the wall face. When first cleared, it most resembled a large banquet couch (kline), rectangular in plan, the length-to-width ratio being 2:1. The divergence of the plan by barely a few centimeters—the shorter, south wall ran slightly diagonally to the north one, distorting the rectangle—is considered as either carelessness or misalignment. A wall inside the structure divides it into two equal compartments, both ap-

Fig. 6. Inventory drawings of the commemorative monument: front elevation and plan (state May 2018) (Polish–Egyptian Conservation Mission | inventory drawing W. Grzegorek)
parently filled solid with limestone rubble and sand (Czerner and Medeksza 2010: 98–99) [see Fig. 2]. Limestone slabs 21 cm wide were used for the walls and were capped with flat blocks typical of Marina (60 x 40 x 30 cm) forming a stone ledge around the perimeter. This base was subsequently decorated with the red marble slabs, arranged in a checkerboard pattern, the sides of individual slabs running presumably parallel and perpendicular to the diagonal of the mensa top.

The project started in 2002 with a reconstruction of the marble plinth. The blocks of the side walls of the base were cleaned and completed, the joints filled and the compartments filled with rubble. The capping was made of limestone slabs without recreating the red marble slab, which was too fragmentary for the purpose (the inscription is in SCA storage). The top of the structure now measured 4.29–4.34 m by 2.045 m, and the height to the top of the slabs was 0.92–0.93 m.

A comparative analysis of the architectural elements from the rubble of the house lent itself to the recreation of a two-row column portico on top of the base and with the west wall of the room as a backdrop. Originally, it consisted of four columns in front and two pillars or pilasters on the wall, standing 10 cm away from the wall, but connected to it by masonry. The distance between the axis of the column bases and the edges of the base is 0.23 m. The axis of the pillar/pilaster bases is 0.25 m from the wall. The spacing between the row of columns positioned at the edge of the plinth and the row of pillars by the wall is 1.565 m between the axes [Fig. 6].

The anastylosis took advantage of surviving architectural elements of the portico: two columns and one pillar of full height, as well as the outline of one drum on the base of the next column and the bases of the fourth, corner column and the second pillar by the wall (Czerner and Medeksza 2010: 109–112). The columns and pillars were mortared to the plinth slabs. The drums and capitals were joined with lime and cement mortar, using Egyptian-made white cement. The total height of the plinth, as well as of the columns and pillars, corresponds to the heights of the reconstructed columns of the portico belonging to the courtyard of House H21c, demonstrating the correctness of this hypothesis. For the sake of comparison, the lower diameter of the columns of the courtyard portico is 0.46 m, while that of the columns of the monument is only 0.315 cm (Czerner and Medeksza 2010: 102; Grzegorek 2019: 285) [see Fig. 6].

The total height of the west wall from the floor to the top of the cornice is reconstructed at 4.035 m. The height of the colonnade (columns and pillars) from the

Fig. 7. Cornice with dentils (section drawing, 2018) (Polish–Egyptian Conservation Mission | inventory drawing W. Grzegorek)
top of the ledge to the top of the capitals is 2.73 m. The height from the top of the base to the top of the architrave is 2.905 m and to the top of the cornice 3.105 m [see Fig. 6]. The overhang of the cornice in relation to the wall façade is 0.19 m.

The west wall was reconstructed to a height of 10 courses above the top of the plinth surface, assembling the new blocks of the architrave higher with the preserved cornice mantle on top (Grzegorek 2019: 286) [Fig. 7].

**COMPLEMENTARY CONSERVATION WORK**

For the past 11 years, since the completion of the conservation and reconstruction work in 2007, the monument, which stands out in the open, has been exposed to the harsh weather conditions of the Mediterranean winters. Winds in the coastal zone blow east–west from November to March, often carrying large amounts of fine abrasive sand. They are accompanied by aggressive atmospheric precipitation occurring in the coastal area. These processes are the cause of pro-

Fig. 8A. Commemorative monument before and after commencement of work in the 2018 season: details of the wall (Polish–Egyptian Conservation Mission | photos W. Grzegorek)
gressing degradation, evidenced by the loss of mortar from the joints and local erosion of limestone blocks in the walls.

Regular monitoring of the state of the monument, a standard procedure for the mission, revealed the need for undertaking maintenance conservation according to the principles worked out for the site in the course of the 20-year-old project.

Joints under the capitals and between the column drums were filled for the first time in May 2017 (Zambrzycki and Selerowicz 2018). In May 2018, further conservation work was carried out by the author [Figs 8A–B]. The wall joints were filled on both sides and pointing work was conducted on the eastern face of the wall of Room 2, which stands at the back of this monument and serves

Fig. 8B. Commemorative monument before and after commencement of work in the 2018 season: details of the wall (Polish–Egyptian Conservation Mission | photos W. Grzegorek)
Fig. 9. Commemorative monument before the commencement of the work (top) and after the work was completed in 2018 (Polish–Egyptian Conservation Mission | photos W. Grzegorek)
as a backdrop. The wall, which is 0.31 m thick, is built of limestone blocks bonded in lime–cement mortar using white Portland cement as described below. The work included:

• cleaning the surface of stones and joints with a hard plastic brush and a wire brush,
• removal of loose fragments of mortar and stone,
• abundant moistening of cleaned joints or gaps between blocks after mortar depletion,
• filling the joints with densely textured mortar and cleaning excessive mortar from the face of the stones,
• tempering the binding mortar in the joints with frequent sprinkling of water.

The cornice block, inadvertently broken, was reintegrated by stone conservator Piotr Zambrzycki using stainless steel clamps and epoxy resin. Another block was added to the integrated block to act as a counterweight for the cornice block over the face of the wall. It was matched with adjacent blocks [see Fig. 7].

The filling and pointing conservation work was completed along horizontal lines, starting from the top of the wall and gradually moving downward to the base of the monument. Joints in the sections of the wall south of the monument and to the north of it were pointed in a similar way. Finally, the floor of the room was cleaned of sand and vegetation.

The lime–cement mortar for the work was made using Portland White Cement II/B – L 42,5 N produced in May 2018 by Helwan Cement of the Heidelberg Cement Group, hydrated slaked lime as well as desalinated sand. The aggregate and filler were sieved through a 2.5 mm mesh screen. The sand:lime:cement volume ratio was 6:3:1. The workability of the binding mortar was assured by curating it with copious sprinkling with batched water. The old filling mortar and adjacent stone surfaces were thoroughly soaked with water before laying the new mortar to prevent them from absorbing the mixing water necessary in the process of its proper binding.

**FINAL REMARKS**

The current work was necessitated by the observed degradation of sections of the back wall on either side of the monument. Stone erosion and deterioration and loss of mortar from the joints threatened the collapse of parts of the structure. The case of the monument, requiring additional conservation barely a decade after the original restoration project had been concluded, demonstrates a not so rare situation in Egypt. Monuments standing out in the open, especially in the corrosive climate of the Mediterranean coast, are in need of continuous monitoring and maintenance conservation in order for the archaeological heritage to be preserved in good condition.
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